Expectations from research to meet future demands seen from the BIOEAST Initiative

XXXIII EURAGRI Conference

Agriculture, food, forest, fisheries: How to CONNECT our research with society, or society with research?

Barna Kovacs PhD

BIOEAST Secretary General

Ghent, 23-24. September 2019.

School strike(s) for the climate



What do we say? Success of young generation or failure of the old.



How much cowbell is too much cowbell?



What do we say? Success of the environmental policy or failure of the agri.



Modern Times



What do we say? Success of the technology or failure of communication.



Clash of generations

Born after:

- 2000 –
- 1975 –
- 1945 –

The **roles** were challenged during the past decades, and the **transition** still emerging:

- 1. How do we interpret the role of agri-food sector?
- 2. How do we interpret the role of environment?
- 3. How do we interpret the role of society?



Sustainable food consumption and production in a resource-constrained world



BIG Challenges of food and nutrition security in the sustainable bioeconomy

- Resource efficiency
- Climate Change pressure
- Demography & urbanization
- Diet & health transitions
- De-carbonization

Moral Challenge -the greatest challenge-If we are not acting, we are endangering everyone who is alive now and also future generations.



Why we need the BIOEAST Initiative?

Because the solutions will be delivered by researchers, but the implementation should be eased by politicians.



2019 Change Readiness Index: Climate Change

The 2019 Change Readiness Index (CRI) indicates the capability of a country – its government, private and public enterprises, people and wider civil society – to anticipate, prepare for, manage, and respond to a wide range of change drivers, proactively cultivating the resulting opportunities and mitigating potential negative impacts. (KPMG)

Enterprise	Government	People & civil society	
Transport and utilities infrastructure	Food and energy security	Safety nets	
Financial sector	Government strategic planning and foresight	Human capital	
Enterprise sustainability	Environment and climate change	Civil society	
Economic diversification	Public administration and state business relations	Inclusiveness of growth	
Economic openness	Land and property rights	Health care coverage	
Technology infrastructure	Macroeconomic framework	Access to information	

Figure 1: Relevant change readiness indicators for climate change



2019 Change Rediness Index: Climate Change

Overall rank	Country	Region	Enterprise capability	Government capability	People & civil society capability
		Northern, Southern and Western			
1	Switzerland	Europe	1	1	3
21	Estonia	Europe and Central Asia	18	19	20
27	Czech Republic	Eastern Europe and Central Asia	28	28	29
		Northern, Southern and Western			
29	Lithuania	Europe	30	31	34
33	Poland	Eastern Europe and Central Asia	35	38	32
37	Slovakia	Fastern Europe and Central Asia	37	40	35
57			37		
48	Hungary	Eastern Europe and Central Asia	45	65	47
54	Romania	Eastern Europe and Central Asia	55	61	51
•					
60	Bulgaria	Eastern Europe and Central Asia	53	82	61
140	Somalia	Sub-Saharan Africa	138	140	137

KPMG, 2019

The context of primary sectors in the CEE countries

1. Why <u>we need research and innovation</u> and involvement of HORIZON programme for primary production sectors?

- Because that is the only way how we can solve the <u>big challenges</u> and <u>gain</u> <u>competitiveness</u>.

2. Why is important to talk about sustainable bioeconomy?



- The bioeconomy could <u>provide added value</u> to the primary production sectors, and <u>perspective for rural development</u>.



There is a massive biomass/bioresources potential in the BIOEAST countries BUT:

- 1. There is a lack of strategic research and innovation agenda on how to produce and process in a sustainable and circular manner
- 2. There is a lack of national bioeconomy strategies and action plans for the sustainable and circular use of the bioresources
- 3. There is a lack of investments into biorefineries for the sustainable and circular use of bioresources.



1. National bioeconomy strategies

2. Functioning biorefineries



Share of EU13 (NEW) from HORIZON 2020



MEMBER-NEW
MEMBER-OLD
OTHER
Data until 2018



Lack of collaboration

Collaboration between countries in Forest systems





It can be seen that there are very few collaboration ties with Eastern European countries; and this feature is present throughout all supply chain categories

SCAR Study 2017

"Iceland

BIOEAST Challenges

- C1. Research and Innovation deadlock
- C2. Stalemate in the bio-based value chains
- C3. Governance impasse
- C4. Societal indifference
- C5. Financial barriers



BIOEAST Initiative

- Central and Eastern European (CEE) (Visegrad4+7) countries cooperation at governmental level
- 2. Research and innovation oriented

3. The Mission:

Is to assist Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries to operationalize their Vision for 2030 drawing on their potential and offering opportunities for:

- A sustainable increase of biomass production, to become competitive and leading, high quality, food and feed producers worldwide;

- A circular ("zero waste") processing of the available biomass, to become key players in the development of new bio-based value chains;

- Viable rural areas: to develop an innovative, inclusive, climate-ready and inclusive growth model;



OBJECTIVES of the macroregional BIOEAST Initiative:

- **1.** Initiate cooperation: establish a multi-stakeholder network to facilitate joint actions;
- 2. Provide an evidence base: establish data-driven support for implementation of policies;
- **3.** Support strategies: create the cross-sectorial approach for the development of a national circular and bioeconomy strategies;
- **4.** Focus on research: map specific challenges for a Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda;
- 5. Improve skills: train a new generation of dedicated multi-stakeholder actors;
- 6. Develop synergies: promote regional, national, EU and international funding opportunities;
- 7. Increase visibility: draw attention to specific challenges of the CEE regions.





- Low shares of turnover in the total EU-28 bioeconomy
- High shares in employment (mainly in the primary sectors)
- Biomass-rich region with traditionally high importance of primary sectors agriculture, forestry and fishery
- Unused and underutilised biomass potentials
- Insufficient infrastructure, missing links between industries

(2018, SCAR study: State of play of Central and Eastern Europe's bioeconomies)



BIOEAST macro region's characteristics

Agricultural land abandonment:

EU is expected to continue at a rate of 0.2% in utilised agricultural area (UAA) per year until 2030. Literature not clear about situation in BIOEAST countries

• Yield development :

Production of major crops is expected to increase significantly in BIOEAST countries, almost entirely through increased yields (e.g., for wheat and maize, increases of 15% and 50% respectively are projected for 2026).

For instance Global yield gap atlas explains the difference between actual yields and agroclimatically achievable yields in the same region. For the BIOEAST countries, several examples underpin the existing gap from the north-western EU countries.

Residues:

Potential from forestry and agroforestry residues could be further exploited.

Animal production:

Higher productivity through an improved input/output ratio would therefore require less feedstock (available for other uses)

(2018, JRC study: State of play of Central and Eastern Europe's bioeconomies)



Emerging questions from policy makers

- 1. Sustainable food systems in the bioeconomy
- 2. Decarbonisation through sustainable use and mobilisation of biomass for food and non-food uses
- 3. Using bioeconomy to generate growth and jobs
- 4. Adaptation to climate change through bioeconomy solutions





The expectations from research to meet future demands seen from the BIOEAST Initiative

- > To provide evidence base for policy development.
- > To help the governance to develop tailor-made policy support facility.
- To bridge the current gaps in the knowledge a better contribution of economics, social and political sciences are needed
- To develop (Public-Private) Partnerships and to improve research infrastructure by public and private investment
- > To initiate platforms for awareness raising and capacity building
- ➢ To bring solutions by reducing fragmentation, by creating synergies and complementarities between sectors, to maximize the underutilized potential of the CEE macro-region acting together at macro-regional level and to a wider extent at EU level



Emerging research questions

- 1. Resource efficiency: shift to bio based pesticides and fertilizers
- 2. Food systems: shift in diets and nutrition
- 3. Agro-forestry and agro-energy: shift in profit realization
- 4. Externalities: shift from privatizing profits and socializing costs to privatizing costs and socializing profits
- 5. Fresh water based bioeconomy: shift from sectorial approaches to integrated approach
- 6. Consumer sciences: shift from product oriented approach to service oriented approaches
- 7. Value chain creation: shift from disrupted responsibility to overarching responsibility





Thank you for your attention! www.bioeast.eu Barna Kovacs PhD Secretary General barna.kovacs@mfa.gov.hu

